

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

"CALL	INI"
REQUI	

WE THE UNDERSIGNED WISH TO "CALL IN" FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL THE DECISION(S) OF THE CABINET TAKEN ON THEDAY OF20XX IN RELATION TO THE REPORT/MINUTE NUMBER SHOWN BELOW:

• councillor	(Sign)	HUGH	MASON	(Print
• councillor	(Sign)	DARRE	m say ders	(Print
• councillor	(Sign)	MATTHEW	NINNINGTON	(Print
• councillor	(Sign)	PHILLIP.	SMITH	(Print
• councillor		C.LYNNE	STAGG	(Print
Dated March 12th 2016				
AGENDA ITEM/MINUTE N	10. 5			
REPORT TITLE / MINUTE / DATE OF CABINET MEETING / PORTFOLIO DECISION MEETING / MIS DATE Line 1 0th 2				2016
REASONS FOR "CALL IN" and WHY	Believe the decision may inaccurate or incorrect info			
	Believe the decision may have been taken without adequate information			
	Believe the decision may be contrary to the council's agreed Budget and policy framework			

Once completed please return to Local Democracy Manager Telephone: 023 9283 4055 Fax: 023 9284 1322

- Please provide a brief summary of reasons for call in
- Please state what alternative action it is proposed should be taken by decision maker

Reasons for call-in of T&T decision on London Road, North End

- 1. The current road layout was put in as a direct result of the high numbers of road accidents on this stretch of the road. Parked cars meant visibility for both drivers and pedestrians was reduced and narrow pavements meant pedestrians had to walk into the road to overtake people. There was no evidence presented at the meeting to show that the scheme had not reduced accidents and no risk/safety assessment had been done to show the potential impact of the new proposal on road safety and accidents. Therefore there was inadequate information on which to base the decision made.
- 2. Before the present scheme was put in place, there was extensive consultation with both local residents and businesses. There was a 2-day open consultation in St. Mark's Church Hall, a presentation at North End Neighbourhood Forum and leaflet drop. As a result of the consultations, some aspects of the original proposals were changed because the team doing the work listened to all stakeholders and got the information needed to make the decision. There was no consultation with the majority of stakeholders on this new proposal, only a meeting with a few self-selecting business people. Therefore the decision was made without adequate information which would have been obtained from a proper consultation with ALL stakeholders.

The main reason for this scheme appears to be insufficient on-road parking. But there is a PCC-owned car park in Stubbington Avenue, only a few yards from the shops. There could be free parking here for 30 minutes to allow people who just want to 'pop' in to one shop which businesses claim is what they are dipping out on. Alternatively there is a large carpark behind the empty supermarket which has a low cost/hour.

